	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/796,090	TAKE, KEIJIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Brian D. Nguyen	2616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Brian D. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Monaco</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 April 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nnt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
7, 13, 19, and 20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
· .		
.71		
Man WIII		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To correct the informalities in the claims and to add "synchronization" to claims 19 and 20. The phase "configured to" in claims 13 and 20 was also discussed. The applicant insisted that "configured to" is positively recited limitation; thereofore, all the limitations following this phase must be considered..